Federal, State, or local government agency, including any administrative agreement that purports to affect only a single agency;

- (2) Any criminal or civil legal proceeding not referenced in the notice of proposed debarment that arose from facts relevant to the basis for debarment stated in the notice; and
- (3) Any entity in which the provider has a control interest, as that term is defined in §890.1003.

§890.1024 Standard and burden of proof for deciding contests.

OPM shall demonstrate, by a preponderance of the evidence in the administrative record as a whole, that a provider has committed a sanctionable violation.

§ 890.1025 Cases where additional factfinding is not required.

In each contest, the debarring official shall determine whether a further fact-finding proceeding is required in addition to presentation of arguments, documents, and information. An additional fact-finding proceeding is not required when:

- (a) Prior adjudication. The proposed debarment is based on facts determined in a prior due process adjudication. Examples of prior due process proceedings include, but are not limited to, the adjudication procedures associated with:
- (1) Licensure revocation, suspension, restriction, or nonrenewal by a State licensing authority;
- (2) Debarment, exclusion, suspension, civil monetary penalties, or similar legal or administrative adjudications by Federal, State, or local agencies;
- (3) A criminal conviction or civil judgment; or
- (4) An action by a provider that constitutes a waiver of his right to a due process adjudication, such as surrender of professional license during the pendency of a disciplinary hearing, entering a guilty plea or confession of judgment in a judicial proceeding, or signing a settlement agreement stipulating facts that constitute a sanctionable violation.
- (b) Material facts not in dispute. The provider's contest does not identify a bona fide dispute concerning facts ma-

terial to the basis for the proposed debarment.

§ 890.1026 Procedures if a fact-finding proceeding is not required.

- (a) Debarring official's procedures. If a fact-finding proceeding is not required, the debarring official shall issue a final decision of a provider's contest within 30 days after the record closes for submitting evidence, arguments, and information as part of the contest. The debarring official may extend this timeframe for good cause.
- (b) No further administrative review available. There are no further OPM administrative proceedings after the presiding official's final decision. A provider adversely affected by the decision may appeal under 5 U.S.C. 8902a(h)(2) to the appropriate U.S. district court.

§890.1027 Cases where an additional fact-finding proceeding is required.

- (a) Criteria for holding fact-finding proceeding. The debarring official shall request another OPM official ("presiding official") to hold an additional fact-finding proceeding if:
- (1) Facts material to the proposed debarment have not been adjudicated in a prior due process proceeding; and
- (2) These facts are genuinely in dispute, based on the entire administrative record available to the debarring official.
- (b) Qualification to serve as presiding official. The presiding official is designated by the OPM Director or another OPM official authorized by the Director to make such designations. The presiding official shall be a senior official who is qualified to conduct informal adjudicative proceedings and who has had no previous contact with the proposed debarment or the contest.
- (c) *Effect on contest*. The debarring official shall defer a final decision on the contest pending the results of the fact-finding proceeding.

§890.1028 Conducting a fact-finding proceeding.

(a) Informal proceeding. The presiding official may conduct the fact-finding proceedings as informally as practicable, consistent with principles of fundamental fairness. Formal rules of